'Benami Transaction & Properties

[Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Bill 2016]

Syed Shabbar Zaidi

Presentation at:

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Pakistan & Karachi Tax Bar Association September 20, 2016



N<mark>&</mark>CO.

ble of Contents

- What is a 'Benami' transaction?
- Why 'Benami' Law? Need and the status in other jurisdictions.
- Why 'Benami' transactions are undertaken?
- Level of prevalence in Pakistan and forms of 'Benami' assets.
- Effective Date? Retrospective and Prospective?
- Prohibition and Confiscation? Taxability?
- Process envisaged for implementation of law.
- Expected actions and results. Handicaps?
- Solution and suggestions.

'hat is a 'Benami' transaction?

nami' transaction means a transaction 'without name'. In every commercial transaction re are following essential elements:

The '**source**' of funds from which the asset is purchased/transaction undertaken; The '**title**' of the asset or the person who carry the legal title; The person to whom the '**benefits**' arising from such assets/ transaction relate (the neficiary)

Benami' transaction is one where title is held by a person other than then person who has ovided the funds and who effectively enjoys the benefit of the said property. A transaction I only be treated as 'benami' if all three elements are non-coherent. If title also confers nefit it will be gift not a benami transaction. There are other deemed benami under the law o.

'hy 'Benami' transactions are undertaken?

- To de**fraud** the 'creditors'.
- To evade 'tax' on the source of income used for benami transactions.
- To keep assets out of public eye.

e then English jurists in sub-continent way back in early 1900 observed that constant asion of India by outsiders in the past centuries, and abuse of powers by such invaders couraged Indians to enter into 'benami' holding of their [landed] properties to save intrusic the invaders. There is a complete history of the legacy.

s is a unique Indian legacy. That historical reality has changed. Now benami is an effective an to 'park untaxed money'. This justifies the introduction of law as proposed, prohibiting nami transactions.

y 'Benami' Law? Need and the status jurisdiction (1)

irst question is why there is a need to introduce prohibition of 'Benami' law in Pakistan?

her jurisdictions, specially in England, this aspect is also dealt by 'doctrine of ncement'. Under that doctrine courts presume that property is held by the person having ownership. This doctrine comes into operation only if there is rebuttal by the legal ership. Otherwise, the property is presumed to be held under trust of the beneficial owner.

b-continent the legal history of benami law is different from other jurisdictions specially and from where we have borrowed whole of the present legal system. This is effectively the ' deviation from English laws which have been fully adopted/prevalent the in subnent.

oarge Farwell during the hearing of the Privy Council way back in 1915 (*Bilas Kunwar vs. aj Ranjit Singh AIR 1915 PC 96*) accepted the prevalence of this system in the subnent conferring recognition of the same. That has been followed by all courts.

'hy 'Benami' Law? Need and the status jurisdiction (2)

tself a 'Benami' transaction is not illegal because transfer of property does not itself by its inition require that transfer in favour of one person can be in the name of another person. s right of transfer cannot be altered by the benami law.

nsequently the answer to the primary question '*being the need for prohibiting the banami* remains open. In India when similar law was introduced in 1988 this question was asked.

twithstanding, the answer of this question, even in India there were questions on ectiveness of the said 'Benami' law.

cordingly after detailed review, it was concluded that in the present circumstances in India is a set in sequired. India introduced the revised 'Benami' Act in 2016.

s good that Pakistan law has adopted the said Indian Law in toto. Thus primary homework been done in almost similar circumstances.

'hy 'Benami' Law? Need and the status jurisdiction (3)

der the income tax law the '**onus**' of proof of a benami transaction lies with the tax bartment. That primary concept in the taxation law cannot be disturbed.

erefore if benami is highly prevalent its effectiveness of enforcement remains low.

against that under the benami law the **'onus**' shifts to the 'benamidar' to proof that proper consideration is 'not benami'. That can only be done under a separate benami law.

condly, under the benami law entering into a benami transaction is an crime subject to prisonment. That leverage is not directly available under the present income tax law.

rdly effective confiscation is not possible under other laws. Now that will be a possibility a I to avoid evasion.

evel of prevalence in Pakistan and forms of 'Benami' assets (1)

enami' and 'Undeclared Assets' are two different things. In Pakistan. Benami transaction there, however on account of lack of tax compliance, the main issue is 'undeclared assets'.

e real benefit of benami law will therefore be in relation to onus to proof and the easier nner of confiscation. However that too depends on compliance of the new law. In India ween 1988 to 2016 there were very few confiscations.

nami prevails culturally and historically in land in rural sector. Urban properties are also of in benami but mostly in the cases where the sources are illegal ab-initio.

evel of prevalence in Pakistan and forms of 'Benami' assets (2)

her major undeclared assets are 'Bank Accounts' and 'Securities'. Nevertheless on account lerent higher risks involved, benami arrangements in such cases are generally not highly evalent. Accordingly volume is limited.

e rationale of benami law, in these circumstances will lie on the matter of onus of proof tha 360' degree shift resulting in ease in confiscation and criminality of action.

is is the only reason given by the Indian Standing Committee whilst approving the new law nost same is given in the objective statement of the present Pakistan law. I support the vernment action. There is a need for the said law.

fective Date? Prospective or Retrospective

tion 54 of the Proposed 'Benami' law states that any transfer of property after the issue of ice under Section 22 of the proposed benami law will be void. This provides a clear spective application of the proposed law.

insactions undertaken prior to application of this law will therefore fall outside the ambit on nami law. Nevertheless that will not affect the existing right conferred under the income tag .

ether a notice under Section 22 can be issued to a benami property transferred in the nam a benamidar prior to the promulgation of this law is the question to be decided by the cour e apparent answer seems to be in affirmative. There is a view that law should necessarily be rospective.

ohibition & Confiscation versus Taxability?

nami is a very severe law. It prohibits 'benami' transactions. This prohibition is not be Ifused with Transfer of Property laws. Having property in somebody else's name, will rema al.

hibition in this case is followed by confiscation. It is a step further to 'taxability' which is ited to percentage of incidence of tax. This mean 100% disentitlement.

us benami law is a confiscatory law, but justified in present circumstances.

vould effectively override the present Section 82 of the Trust Act, that allows holding benar ets in trusts. Consequently Section 82 of the Trust Act will be overridden by the benami lav ne will be consequence of the effect of the provisions of Section 90 of the Income Tax dinance, in so far if they are in conflict with benami laws.

rocess Envisaged

nree tiered process has been envisaged:

- 1. Initiation;
- 2. Reference;
- 3. Confiscation.

ere is provision of a 'provisional' order also. At present the power of initiation has been given ncome Tax Officer having jurisdiction over the property and the place where transaction here transacti here transaction here tran

s effectively means that powers to execute the function of handling with the banami prope uld lie with the 'Adjudicating Authority' formed under the proposed Act.

(pected Action and results: Handicaps (1)

sults of Indian law between 1988 to 2016 were not very encouraging despite the fact that valence of undeclared assets in India is substantially lower than Pakistan.

e essence and compulsory ingredient for the proper application of law lies with the cumentation and available information about the transaction.

lian Committee of Lok Sabha (National Assembly) observed that an effective system can or erate where land records are properly computerized. They also warned abuse in such cases many circumstances the evidence of source may not be available.

ere is a need for unearthing such undeclared and or benami assets however the efficacy and le of efficacy will always remain questionable.

lutions and Suggestion.

Right selection of Adjudicating Authority-ICAP/KTBA Role?

Appropriate amendment/lining up with other laws including Trust Act, and Income Tax Ordinance, 2001, Companies Ordinance, 1984 and Securities Act, 2015.

Assets held outside Pakistan? Transaction undertaken by Pakistani citizen; assets held outside Pakistan;

dentification, documentation of assets;

Declaration of assets followed by implementation of 'Benami' law: Transitional approach.